

## 5.6. Nash's Theorem

John Nash proved the following theorem:

### 5.6.1. Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a randomized bimatrix game. Then  $G$  has an equilibrium.*

In order to prove this theorem, we are asked to find a fixed point of the map  $\beta$ . In order to do this, we apply Kakutani's Fixed Point Theorem. We will state a version of this theorem below. The proof is too complicated to be repeated here.

### 5.6.2. Theorem (Kakutani, 1941)

*Let  $C$  be a compact convex subset of Euclidean  $n$  – space. Let  $F$  be a map that assigns to each  $x \in C$  a closed convex subset  $F(x) \subseteq C$ . If for each open set  $U \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^n$  the set  $\{x \in C : F(x) \subseteq U\}$  is open in  $C$ , then there is a point  $x_0$  so that  $x_0 \in F(x_0)$*

We will apply this theorem to the map  $\beta$ . So we have to verify that for each open set  $U$  the set  $\{(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in \Delta_m \times \Delta_n : \beta(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in U\}$  is open in  $\Delta_m \times \Delta_n$ . Actually, we will prove a stronger statement:

### 5.6.3. Lemma

*If  $(\bar{p}_0, \bar{q}_0) \in \Delta_m \times \Delta_n$  is given, then the sets*

$$U_1 = \{\bar{p} \in \Delta_m : \beta_1(\bar{p}) \subseteq \beta_1(\bar{p}_0)\}$$

*and*

$$U_2 = \{\bar{q} \in \Delta_n : \beta_2(\bar{q}) \subseteq \beta_2(\bar{q}_0)\}$$

*are open.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the matrices defining the bimatrix game. We apply the results from the interlude: If

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \bar{p}_0^T B \\ \mu_0 &= \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \\ J_0 &= \{j : x_j = \mu_0\} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\beta_2(\bar{p}_0) = \left\{ \bar{q} \in \Delta_{n-1} : \sum_{j \in J_0} q_j = 1 \right\}$$

Pick a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $x_i < \mu_0 - \varepsilon$  whenever  $i \notin J_0$ . Since matrix multiplication is continuous, there is a number  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\|\bar{p}_0 - \bar{p}\| < \delta$  implies  $\|\bar{p}_0^T B - \bar{p}^T B\| < \varepsilon / 2$ . If  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \bar{p}^T B$ , then  $i \notin J_0$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= x_i + y_i - x_i \\ &\leq x_i + \varepsilon / 2 \\ &< (\mu_0 - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon / 2 \\ &= \mu_0 - \varepsilon / 2 \end{aligned}$$

while  $i \in J_0$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= x_i + y_i - x_i \\ &= \mu + y_i - x_i \\ &\geq \mu - \varepsilon / 2 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the maximum of the entries of  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \bar{p}^T B$  has to be greater than or equal to  $\mu_0 - \varepsilon / 2$  and can occur only on indices belonging to  $J_0$ , If

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \max \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \\ J &= \{j : x_j = \mu\} \end{aligned}$$

then  $J \subseteq J_0$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2(\bar{p}) &= \left\{ \bar{q} \in \Delta_{n-1} : \sum_{j \in J} q_j = 1 \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ \bar{q} \in \Delta_{n-1} : \sum_{j \in J_0} q_j = 1 \right\} \\ &= \beta_2(\bar{p}_0) \end{aligned}$$